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## The Mathematics of Game Theory

Professor Sarah Hart
Gresham Professor of Geometry


## Price

war


## The Prisoner's Dilemma



- Acme vs BestCo: market for 100 widgets
- At same price each sell 50 ; otherwise $80-20$ split
- High $\rightarrow £ 5$ profit/widget; Low $\rightarrow £ 4$ profit/widget

| Acme vs BestCo | B cuts price | B maintains <br> price |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A cuts price | A's profit: $£ 200$ | A's profit: $£ 320$ |
|  | B’s profit: $£ 200$ | B’s profit: $£ 100$ |
| A maintains price | A's profit: $£ 100$ | A's profit: $£ 250$ |
|  | B’s profit: $£ 320$ | B’s profit: $£ 250$ |

## David Blackwell (1919-2010)

"[t]he situation with the Soviet Union has had elements like this in it. To cooperate is to disarm and to double-cross is to re-arm with bigger and bigger weapons. That takes a lot of resources and we would both be better off disarming. But each is afraid that if he throws away his weapons, the other one will not and he will be at a great disadvantage."


The Petticoat bovullists.
Publish'd bv W. \& J. Stratfords, Nin Ho Holborn Hill, Augit.2792.

## Rules of Engagement

- One bullet each
- Stand 20 paces apart, walk 0-10 paces before firing
- Opponents equally skilled
- Probability of hitting opponent after $p$ paces is $\frac{p}{10}$
- If your opponent fires first and misses, you win
- If both hit, or both miss simultaneously, it's a draw.



## Comparing Strategies: Colin vs Rose

- Payoff for Rose: +1 for win, 0 for draw, -1 for lose
- Zero-sum game
- Expected payoff: Rose to fire at 4 paces, Colin at 6

$$
(+1) \times \frac{4}{10}+(-1) \times \frac{6}{10}=-0.2
$$

- Rose 4 paces, Colin 2 paces:

$$
(+1) \times \frac{8}{10}+(-1) \times \frac{2}{10}=0.6
$$

- Rose 4 paces, Colin 4 paces:

$$
(+1) \times \frac{4}{10} \times \frac{6}{10}+(-1) \times \frac{6}{10} \times \frac{4}{10}=0
$$



|  | C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | C5 | C6 | C7 | C8 | C9 | C10 | Min |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1 | 0 | -0.8 | -0.8 | -0.8 | -0.8 | -0.8 | -0.8 | -0.8 | -0.8 | -0.8 | -0.8 |
| R2 | 0.8 | 0 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 |
| R3 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0 | -0.4 | -0.4 | -0.4 | -0.4 | -0.4 | -0.4 | -0.4 | -0.4 |
| R4 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0 | -0.2 | -0.2 | -0.2 | -0.2 | -0.2 | -0.2 | -0.2 |
| R5 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| R6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0 |
| R7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0 | -0.2 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | -0.2 |
| R8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0 | -0.2 | -0.4 | 0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | -0.4 |
| R9 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0 | -0.2 | -0.4 | -0.6 | 0 | 0.8 | -0.6 |
| R10 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0 | -0.2 | -0.4 | -0.6 | -0.8 | 0 | -0.8 |

## Finding an equilibrium

- Two player zero-sum games, eg taking penalties

| Odds of goal | Keeper $\rightarrow$ | Keeper C | Keeper $\leftarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Striker $\rightarrow$ | $50 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $90 \%$ |
| Striker C | $80 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| Striker $\leftarrow$ | $90 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $50 \%$ |



- "Pure strategy" won't work; need "mixed strategy"
- Eg players go in each direction $1 / 3$ of the time. Expected score:

$$
\frac{1}{9}(0.5+0.8+0.9+0.8+0.3+0.8+0.9+0.8+0.5)=0.7
$$

## John von Neumann's Minimax Theorem (1928)

- In 2-player zero-sum games there is always an equilibrium strategy that's minimax for one player (and maximin for the other)
- Football equilibrium: both players do 42\% right, 42\% left, 16\% centre.
(for details see paper by Ferenc Forgó, linked in transcript)



## John Nash (1928-2015)

- Multiplayer, non-zero-sum games always have a Nash equilibrium: no player can improve outcome by unilaterally changing strategy
- Eg "best coffee in town": 400 price-savvy locals, 200 tourists.

| f5 | $B £ 3$ | $B £ 4$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A £ 3$ | $A: £ 900$ | $A: £ 1500$ |
|  | $B: £ 900$ | $B: £ 400$ |
| $A £ 4$ | $A: £ 400$ | $A: £ 1200$ |
|  | $B: £ 1500$ | $B: £ 1200$ |



## Setting a Reserve Price

- English auction. Reserve price $R$.
- Problem reduces to single bidder, max bid $x \leq £ 100$.
- Expected revenue $\frac{1}{100} R(100-R)$
- Maximum revenue at $R=50$




## What's the best bid?

First price sealed bid auction

- Risk of winner's curse (due to private vs common value)
- Broken lamp - can fix and sell for $£ 100$ - what bid?

Second price sealed bid auction (Vickrey auction)

- Better analogue for open English auction
- Should bid your valuation


## Revenue Equivalence Theorem

- Theoretical expected revenue same in all four auction types
- half the highest valuation


## The great 3G auction

- UK 3G licences auctioned in 2000
- 5 licences
- Round 1: make bid on one licence
- Round X: if (and only if) not top bidder on any licence, improve top bid on one licence or drop out
- Continue until 5 bidders remain
- Raised $£ 22.5$ BILLION.



## Which house to rent or buy?

- Assume $n$ houses randomly ordered.
- Strategy: view $s$ houses out of potential $n$ houses, then choose the first one after that which is the best so far.
$n=3$ houses
- $s=0 \quad$ Choose House 1
33.3\% success rate
- $s=1 \quad 1 / 6$ chance of each ordering House $1>$ House $2>$ House 3 - FAIL House $1>$ House $3>$ House 2 - FAIL House $2>$ House $1>$ House 3 - SUCCEED House $2>$ House $3>$ House 1 - SUCCEED House $3>$ House $1>$ House 2 - SUCCEED House 3 > House $2>$ House 1 - FAIL 50\% success rate
- $s=2 \quad$ Reject Houses 1 \& 2 $33.3 \%$ success rate


## Which house?

Strategy: view $s$ houses out of potential $n$ houses, then choose the first one after that which is the best so far. Best sample size?

| Number of Houses | Best sample size | Best sample \% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 1 | $33.3 \%$ |
| 5 | 2 | $40 \%$ |
| 8 | 3 | $37.5 \%$ |



## Which house?

Strategy: view $s$ houses out of potential $n$ houses, then choose the first one after that which is the best so far. Best sample size?

| Number of Houses | Best sample size | Best sample $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 1 | $33.3 \%$ |
| 5 | 2 | $40 \%$ |
| 8 | 3 | $37.5 \%$ |
| Large $n$ |  |  |
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